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PROGRAM INTEGRITY

The South Carolina Department of Health and Human Services ensures the integrity of the Medicaid Program and seeks to identify and reduce waste, fraud, and abuse in the use of Medicaid funds through the activities carried out by the Division of Program Integrity and the Division of Audits. The purposes of program oversight are to safeguard against unnecessary, inappropriate, and/or fraudulent use of Medicaid services, identify excessive or inaccurate payments to providers, and ensure compliance with the applicable Medicaid laws, regulations, and policies.

The Division of Program Integrity conducts post-payment reviews of all health care provider types including but not limited to hospitals (inpatient and outpatient) rural health clinics, Federally-qualified health clinics, pharmacies, ASCs, ESRD clinics, physicians, dentists, other health care professionals, speech, PT and OT therapists, CLTC providers, durable medical equipment providers, transportation providers, and behavioral and mental health care providers. Program Integrity uses several methods to identify areas for review:

- The toll-free Fraud and Abuse Hotline and the Fraud and Abuse email for complaints of provider and beneficiary fraud and abuse. The hotline number is 1-888-364-3224, and the email address is fraudres@scdhhs.gov.

- Each complaint received from the hotline or email is reviewed, and if the complaint is determined to involve either a Medicaid beneficiary or provider, a preliminary investigation is conducted to identify any indications of fraud and abuse.

- Referrals from other sources as well as ongoing provider monitoring that identify aberrant or excessive billing practices.

- The automated Surveillance and Utilization Review System (SURS) to create provider profiles and
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exception reports that identify excessive or aberrant billing practices.

A Program Integrity review can cover several years’ worth of paid claims data. (See “Records/Documentation Requirements” in this section for the policy on Medicaid record retention.) The Division conducts payment reviews, analysis of provider payments, and review of provider records, using statistical sampling and overpayment estimation when feasible, to determine the following:

- Medical reasonableness and necessity of the service provided
- Indications of fraud or abuse in billing the Medicaid program
- Compliance with Medicaid program coverage and payment policies
- Compliance with state and federal Medicaid laws and regulations
- Compliance with accepted medical coding conventions, procedures, and standards
- Whether the amount, scope, and duration of the services billed to Medicaid are fully documented in the provider’s records

The Division of Program Integrity (“Program Integrity”) or its authorized entities, as described under Records Documentation/Requirements, General Information of Section 1, conduct both announced and unannounced desk and field reviews, on-site inspections, and/or investigations of providers to determine whether the provider is complying with all applicable laws, rules, regulations, and agreements. Program Integrity may conduct reviews, investigations, or inspections of any current or former enrolled provider, agency-contracted provider, or agent thereof, at any time and/or for any time period. During such reviews, Program Integrity staff will request medical records and related documents (“the documentation”). Record means any document or electronically stored information including writings, drawings, graphs, charts, photographs, sound recordings, images, and other data or data compilations, stored in any medium from which information can be obtained either directly or, if necessary,
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after translation by the entity into a usable form that allows authorized entities, described under Records Documentation/Requirements, General Information of Section 1, the ability to review the record. Program Integrity or its designee(s) may either copy, accept a copy or may request original records. Program Integrity may evaluate any information relevant to validating that the provider received only those funds to which it is legally entitled. This includes interviewing any person Program Integrity believes has information pertinent to its review, investigation or inspection. Interviews may consist of one or more visits.

Program Integrity staff will thoroughly review the records to determine whether the documentation accurately represents paid claims and rendered services, and whether the provider is in compliance with its obligations to the state Medicaid program. The documentation must sufficiently disclose the extent of services delivered, medical necessity, appropriateness of treatment, quality of care, and the documentation adheres to all applicable policy requirements. The provider, therefore, must submit a copy of all requested records by the date requested by Program Integrity. Providers must not void, replace, or tamper with any claim records or documentation selected for a Program Integrity review activity, until the activity is finalized.

An overpayment arises when Program Integrity denies the appropriateness or accuracy of a claim. Reasons for which Program Integrity may deny a claim include, but are not limited to the following:

- The Program Integrity review finds excessive, improper, or unnecessary payments have been made to a provider
- The Provider fails to provide medical records as requested
- The provider refuses to allow access to records

In each scenario Medicaid must be refunded for the denied claims.

The provider is notified via certified letter of the post-payment review results, including any overpayment
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findings. If the Provider disagrees with the findings, the provider will have the opportunity to discuss and/or present evidence to Program Integrity to support any disallowed payment amounts. If the parties remain in disagreement following these discussions, the Provider may exercise its right to appeal to the Division of Appeals and Hearings.

If the provider does not contest Program Integrity’s finding, or the appeal process has concluded, the provider will be required to refund the overpayment by issuing payment to SCDHHS or by having the overpayment amount deducted from future Medicaid payments.
Termination of the provider enrollment agreement or contract with SCDHHS does not absolve the provider of liability for any penalties or overpayments identified by a Program Integrity review or audit.

Sanctions including but not limited to suspension, termination, or exclusion from the Medicaid program may result upon the failure of the provider to:

- Allow immediate access to records
- Repay in full the identified overpayment
- Make arrangements for the repayment of identified overpayments
- Abide by repayment terms
- Make payments which are sufficient to remedy the established overpayment

In addition, failure to provide requested records may result in one or more of the following actions by SCDHHS:

- Immediate suspension of future payments
- Denial of future claims
- Recoupment of previously paid claims

Any provider terminated for cause, suspended, or excluded will be reported to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) and U.S. Department of Health and Human (HHS) Office of Inspector General (OIG).

PREPAYMENT REVIEW

In order to ensure that claims presented by a provider for payment meet the requirements of federal and state laws and regulations, a provider may be required to undergo
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prepayment claims review. Grounds for being placed on prepayment claims review shall include, but shall not be limited to identification of aberrant billing practices as a result of reviews, investigations, or data analysis performed by Program Integrity/SUR, or other grounds as determined by Program Integrity/SUR.

Parameters are developed for prepayment review based on the specific areas of concern identified in each case. As part of the prepayment review process, providers are required to submit paper claims, rather than electronic claims, along with supporting medical record documentation (e.g., clinical notes, progress notes, diagnostic testing results, other reports, superbills, X-rays, and any related medical record documentation) attached to each claim for all services billed. This documentation is used to ascertain that the services billed were billed appropriately, and according to South Carolina Medicaid policies and procedures. Services inconsistent with South Carolina Medicaid policies and procedures are adjudicated accordingly. Claims submitted initially without the supporting medical record documentation will be denied.

Additional medical record documentation submitted by the provider for claims denied as a result of the prepayment review process is not considered at a later time. A provider is removed from prepayment review only when determined appropriate by Program Integrity/SUR. Once removed from prepayment review, a follow-up assessment of the provider’s subsequent practice patterns may be performed to monitor and ensure continued appropriate use of resources. Noncompliant providers are subject to administrative sanctions as defined in the rules in South Carolina Regulations at Chapter 126, Article 4, Subarticle 1.

RECOVERY AUDIT CONTRACTOR

The South Carolina Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Program Integrity, has contracted with a Recovery Audit Contractor to assist in identifying and collecting improper payments paid to providers as a result of billing errors as referenced in 42 CFR 476.71. Section 6411(a) of the Affordable Care Act, Expansion of the Recovery Audit Contractor (RAC) Program amends section 1902(a) (42) of the Social Security Act and requires
States to establish a RAC program to enable the auditing of claims for services furnished by Medicaid providers. Pursuant to the statute, these Medicaid RACs must: (1) identify overpayments; (2) recoup overpayments; and (3) identify underpayments. The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) published the final rule implementing this provision, with an effective date of January 1, 2012. States are required to contract with Medicaid RACs “in the same manner as the Secretary enters into contracts” with the Medicare Recovery Auditors. For example, the contingency fee paid to the Medicaid RAC may not exceed that of the highest fee paid to a Medicare Recovery Auditor.

Under this rule, State contracts with Medicaid Recovery Audit Contractors must include the following requirements (or the State must obtain an exemption from CMS for the requirement):

- That each Medicaid RAC hires a minimum of 1.0 FTE Contractor Medical Director who is a Doctor of Medicine or Doctor of Osteopathy licensed to practice in that State.

**Note:** SCDHHS has an approved State Plan Amendment to allow the RAC to have a part-time, in-state medical director who is also a practicing physician, in lieu of a 1.0 FTE medical director.

- That each Medicaid RAC also hires certified coders (unless the State determines that certified coders are not required for the effective review of Medicaid claims)

- An education and outreach program for providers, including notification of audit policies and protocols

- Minimum customer service measures such as a toll-free telephone number for providers and mandatory acceptance of provider submissions of electronic medical records on CD/DVD or via facsimile at the providers’ request

- Notifying providers of overpayment findings within 60 calendar days

- A 3 year maximum claims look-back period and
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• A State-established limit on the number and frequency of medical records requested by a RAC.

Note: SCDHHS has an approved State Plan Amendment to allow the RAC to review claims that are older than three years. The RAC will only be allowed to review claims older than three years upon written permission of the agency.

HMS (Health Management Systems, Inc.) is the current Recovery Audit Contractor for the SCDHHS Division of Program Integrity.

BENEFICIARY EXPLANATION OF MEDICAL BENEFITS PROGRAM

The Beneficiary Explanation of Medical Benefits Program allows Medicaid beneficiaries the opportunity to participate in the detection of fraud and abuse. Each month the Division of Program Integrity randomly selects several hundred beneficiaries for whom claims for services were paid. These beneficiaries are provided with an Explanation of Medical Benefits that lists all non-confidential services that were billed as having been delivered to them and which were paid during the previous 45-day period. Beneficiaries are requested to verify that they received the services listed. The Division of Program Integrity investigates any provider when the beneficiary denies having received the services.

BENEFICIARY OVERSIGHT

The Division of Program Integrity performs preliminary investigations on allegations of beneficiary fraud and abuse. This includes, but is not limited to, beneficiaries who are alleged to have:

• Submitted a false application for Medicaid

• Provided false or misleading information about family group, income, assets, and/or resources and/or any other information used to determine eligibility for Medicaid benefits

• Shared or lent their Medicaid card to other individuals

• Sold or bought a Medicaid card

• Diverted for re-sale prescription drugs, medical supplies, or other benefits
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- Obtained Medicaid benefits that they were not entitled to through other fraudulent means
- Other fraudulent or abusive use of Medicaid services

Program Integrity reviews the initial application and other information used to determine Medicaid eligibility, and makes a fraud referral to the State Attorney General’s Office or other law enforcement agencies for investigation as appropriate. Beneficiary cases will also be reviewed for periods of ineligibility not due to fraud but which still may result in the unnecessary payment of benefits. In these cases the beneficiary may be required to repay the Medicaid services received during a period of ineligibility.

Complaints pertaining to beneficiaries’ misuse of Medicaid services can be reported using the Fraud and Abuse Hotline (1-888-364-3224) or fraud email at fraudres@scdhhs.gov.

MEDICAID BENEFICIARY LOCK-IN PROGRAM

The Division of Program Integrity manages a Beneficiary Lock-In Program that screens all Medicaid members against clinically-vetted criteria designed to identify drug-seeking behavior and inappropriate use of prescription drugs. The Beneficiary Lock-In Program addresses issues such as coordination of care, patient safety, quality of care, improper or excessive utilization of benefits, and potential fraud and abuse associated with the use of multiple pharmacies and prescribers. The policy implements SC Code of Regulations R 126-425. The Division of Program Integrity reviews beneficiary claims data in order to identify patterns of inappropriate, excessive, or duplicative use of pharmacy services. If beneficiaries meet the lock-in criteria established by SCDHHS, they will be placed in the Medicaid Lock-In Program to monitor their drug utilization and to require them to utilize one designated pharmacy. Beneficiaries who are enrolled in the Lock-In Program with an effective date of October 1, 2014 and forward will remain in the program for two years. The beneficiary has the opportunity to select a pharmacy and has the right to appeal. The program also has provisions that allow the beneficiary to obtain emergency medication and/or go to another pharmacy should the first pharmacy provider be unable to provide the needed services.
DIVISION OF AUDITS

Medicaid providers, who contract with SCDHHS for services, including state agencies, may be audited by the SCDHHS Division of Audits. The SCDHHS Division of Audits was formed to assist the agency in the management, assessment, and improvement of agency programs, services, and operations. The Division of Audits accomplishes these goals by reviewing and evaluating programs administered by SCDHHS to determine the extent to which fiscal, administrative, and programmatic objectives are met in a cost-effective manner.

In performing its audits, the Division of Audits follows generally accepted auditing standards (GAGAS). The Division of Audits performs different types of audits of Medicaid providers and programs, including:

- Performance audits that provide an independent assessment of the program outcomes and the management of resources. These audits address the effectiveness, efficiency, and adequacy of program results.
- Audits of contracts with health care providers and other state agencies to ensure compliance with contract terms and conditions for Medicaid service delivery and administration.
- Audits to confirm the accuracy and allowability of costs and other financial information reported to SCDHHS.

PAYMENT ERROR RATE MEASUREMENT

The South Carolina Medicaid program, along with the Medicaid programs in other states, is required to comply with the CMS Payment Error Rate Measurement (PERM) program, which was implemented in federal fiscal year 2007. Each state will be reviewed every three years. PERM requires states to submit a statistically valid sample of paid Medicaid claims to a federal contractor, which will review for compliance with payment rates and state Medicaid policies, and will determine whether medical necessity for the service is adequately documented in the medical record. Providers who are chosen for the sample will be required to submit all applicable medical records for review; however, for most providers only one claim will be chosen for the sample. Providers who fail to send in the
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Requested documentation will face recoupment of the Medicaid payment for the claim in question. In addition, if the CMS PERM contractor determines that a Medicaid claim was paid in error, SCDHHS will be required to recoup the payment for that claim. PERM will combine the errors found in each state in order to establish a national Medicaid error rate.

Fraud

The South Carolina Medicaid program operates under the anti-fraud provisions of 42 US Code §1320a-7b. This federal law relates to both fraud and abuse of the program and identifies illegal acts, penalties for violations, and the individuals and/or entities liable under this section.

The Division of Program Integrity carries out SCDHHS responsibilities concerning suspected Medicaid fraud as required by 42 CFR Part 455, Subpart A. Program Integrity will conduct a preliminary investigation and cooperate with the state and federal authorities in the referral, investigation, and prosecution of suspected fraud in the Medicaid program. Suspicion of fraud can arise from any means, including but not limited to fraud hotline tips, provider audits and program integrity reviews, RAC audits, data mining, and other surveillance activities. SCDHHS refers suspected cases of Medicaid fraud by health care providers to the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) of the State Attorney General’s Office for investigation and possible prosecution. SCDHHS also makes referrals to the Bureau of Drug Control for suspected misuse or overprescribing of prescription drugs, especially controlled substances. If a provider suspected of fraud or abuse is also enrolled in a Medicaid Managed Care Organization (MCO), Program Integrity will coordinate the investigation with the MCO(s) involved. Suspected Medicaid fraud on the part of a beneficiary is referred to a Medicaid Recipient Fraud Unit in the State Attorney General’s Office for investigation.

Payment Suspension

Medicaid payments to a provider may be withheld upon credible allegation of fraud, in accordance with the requirements in 42 CFR §455.23.
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SCDHHS will suspend payments in cases of a credible allegation of fraud. A “credible allegation of fraud” is an allegation that has been verified by SCDHHS and that comes from any source, including but not limited to the following:

- Fraud hotline complaints
- Claims data mining
- Patterns identified through provider audits, civil false claims cases, and law enforcement investigations

SCDHHS has flexibility in determining what constitutes a “credible allegation of fraud.” Allegations are considered to be credible when they have indications of reliability based upon SCDHHS’ review of the allegations, facts, and evidence on a case-by-case basis.

Notice of Suspension

SCDHHS will suspend all Medicaid payments to a provider after the agency determines there is a credible allegation of fraud for which an investigation is pending under the Medicaid program against an individual or entity. Payments may be suspended without first notifying the provider of the intention to suspend payments. SCDHHS will send notice of its suspension of program payments within the following timeframes:

- Within five business days of suspending the payment, unless requested in writing by a law enforcement agency to temporarily withhold such notice
- Within 30 calendar days of suspending the payment, if requested by law enforcement in writing to delay sending such notice

The Notice of Payment Suspension will include all information required to be provided in accordance with 42 CFR §455.23.

All suspension of payment actions will be temporary and will not continue after either of the following:

- SCDHHS or the prosecuting authorities determine that there is insufficient evidence of fraud by the provider
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- Legal proceedings related to the provider’s alleged fraud are completed

Referrals to the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Whenever an investigation leads to the initiation of a payment suspension in whole or part, SCDHHS will make a fraud referral to the South Carolina Medicaid Fraud Control Unit.

Good Cause not to Suspend Payments or to Suspend Only in Part

SCDHHS may find that good cause exists not to suspend payments, or not to continue a payment suspension previously imposed on an individual or entity regarding a credible allegation of fraud, if any of the following are applicable:

- Law enforcement officials have specifically requested that a payment suspension not be imposed because such a payment suspension may compromise or jeopardize an investigation
- Other available remedies implemented by SCDHHS will more effectively or quickly protect Medicaid funds
- SCDHHS determines, based upon the submission of written evidence by the individual or entity that is the subject of the payment suspension, that the suspension should be removed
- SCDHHS determines that beneficiary access to items or services would be jeopardized by a payment suspension for either of the following reasons:
  - An individual or entity is the sole community physician or the sole source of essential specialized services in a community
  - The individual or entity serves a large number of beneficiary’s within a medically underserved area, as designated by the Health Resources and Services Administration of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
- Law enforcement declines to certify that a matter continues to be under investigation
Good Cause not to Suspend Payments or to Suspend Only in Part (Cont'd.)

- SCDHHS determines that payment suspension is not in the best interests of the Medicaid program

SCDHHS may also find that good cause exists to suspend payments in part, or to convert a payment suspension previously imposed in whole to one only in part, on any individual or entity regarding a credible allegation of fraud, if any of the following are applicable:

- SCDHHS determines that beneficiary access to items or services would be jeopardized by a payment suspension for either of the following reasons:
  - An individual or entity is the sole community physician or the sole source of essential specialized services in a community
  - The individual or entity serves beneficiaries within a medically underserved area, as designated by the Health Resources and Services Administration of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
- SCDHHS determines, based upon the submission of written evidence by the individual or entity that is the subject of a whole payment suspension, that such suspension should be imposed only in part
- SCDHHS determines the following:
  - The credible allegation focuses solely and definitively on only a specific type of claim or arises from only a specific business unit of a provider; and
  - A payment suspension in part would effectively ensure that potentially fraudulent claims were not continuing to be paid. If this determination is made by SCDHHS, it will be documented in writing.
- Law enforcement declines to certify that a matter continues to be under investigation
- SCDHHS determines that payment suspension is not in the best interest of the Medicaid program
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Even if SCDHHS exercises the good cause exceptions set forth above, this does not relieve the agency of its obligation to refer a credible allegation of fraud to the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit.

TEMPORARY MORATORIA

Federally-Mandated Moratoria

SCDHHS will impose a Temporary Moratorium when such a moratorium is issued by CMS on enrollment of new providers or provider types identified as posing an increased risk to the Medicaid program.

SCDHHS will take action upon notification by CMS regarding the imposition of the temporary moratorium in advance of the imposition of the moratorium.

SCDHHS will impose the temporary moratorium on the enrollment of new providers or provider types, identified by CMS as posing an increased risk to the Medicaid program, with the following exception:

- SCDHHS is not required to impose such a moratorium if it determines that the imposition of a temporary moratorium would adversely affect beneficiaries’ access to medical assistance
- If SCDHHS makes such a determination, CMS will be notified in writing.

State-Initiated Moratoria

SCDHHS may impose temporary moratoria on enrollment of new providers, or impose numerical caps or other limits, that SCDHHS identifies as having a significant potential for fraud, waste, or abuse and has identified as being a high risk for fraud, waste, or abuse.

SCDHHS, before implementing the moratoria, caps, or other limits, will determine that its action would not adversely impact beneficiaries' access to medical assistance.

SCDHHS will notify CMS in writing in the event the Medicaid program seeks to impose such moratoria, including all details (rationale and justification) of the moratoria; and obtain CMS' concurrence with imposition of the moratoria.
TEMPORARY MORATORIA

Requirements

The temporary moratorium is for an initial period of 6 months.

If SCDHHS determines that it is necessary, the temporary moratorium may be extended in 6-month increments.

SCDHHS will document in writing the necessity for extending the moratoria each time.

SCDHHS will obtain CMS’ concurrence with any moratoria extension.

Provider applications pending at the time of imposition of a moratorium will be denied.
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